

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/726,953	11/29/2000	Ricardo Guimaraes	155615-0018	1119
7590 03/08/2004			EXAMINER	
IRELL & MANELLA LLP			DAHBOUR, FADI H	
Suite 400 840 Newport Center Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Newport Beach, CA 92660			3743	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		$ \leftarrow $				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	09/726,953	GUIMARAES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAN NO DATE A Min	Fadi H. Dahbour	3743				
Period for Reply	inication appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, manmunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum o statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by will, by statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>02/20/04</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the pra	on for allowance except for formal actice under <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935	matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	o application					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	• •					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	are withdrawn from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	_					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	riction and/or election requirement					
Application Papers	Total Control of the					
9) The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.					
10) $oxtime$ The drawing(s) filed on $29$ <i>Novemb</i>	<u>er 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[	objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a clai		.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of						
	ty documents have been received.					
<u> </u>	ty documents have been received					
	rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign Is</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	D P	<u>l</u>				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/726,953

**Art Unit: 3743** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/20/04 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawesch.

Kawesch discloses a method for performing an ophthalmic procedure on a cornea of a patient (Figs.1-5), comprising directing a flow of air above the cornea (200 of Fig.4, also see "over" in line 28 of col.5), from one side of the cornea to another side of the cornea (Figure 4), at a distance so that the cornea is not dehydrated by the flow

Art Unit: 3743

of air (206 of Fig.4, also see "manually operated... manipulated to direct...flow of...air over" in lines 26-28 of col.5), creating a flap in the cornea (16 of Fig.2), moving the flap to expose a portion of the cornea (16 of Fig.3), ablating a portion of the exposed cornea with a laser beam (102 of Fig.4), moving the flap back onto the cornea (see "repositioning the flap" in line 45 of col.2), further comprising adjusting a flowrate of the flow of air (206 of Fig.4, also see "flow rate" in line 31 of col.5), further comprising adjusting a direction of the flow of air (206 of Fig.4, also see "manually operated... manipulated to direct...flow of...air over" in lines 26-28 of col.5).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-11, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawesch in view of Glockler.

Kawesch discloses a system used to perform an ophthalmic procedure on a cornea of a patient (Figs.1-5), comprising a light source that can direct a light beam onto the cornea of the patient (102 of Fig.4), and an airflow module (200 of Fig.4) that can direct a flow of air above the cornea of the patient (see "over" in line 28 of col.5), from one side of the cornea to another side of the cornea (Figure 4), at a distance so that the cornea is not dehydrated by the flow of air (206 of Fig.4, also see "manually operated... manipulated to direct...flow of...air over" in lines 26-28 of col.5), further comprising a

Application/Control Number: 09/726,953

**Art Unit: 3743** 

control console that is coupled to the airflow module (200 of Fig.4, also see "control" in line 57 of col.3), wherein the light source includes a laser (see "laser" in line 24 of col.4), wherein the airflow module creates a laminar flow of air (200 of Fig.4, also see "over" in line 28 of col.5), wherein the airflow module includes an adjustable blade (206 of Fig.4).

Regarding claims 1, 3-11 Kawesch lacks a patient support table. Glockler discloses a patient support table (Fig.1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the feature taught by Glockler, in the device of Kawesch, because Glockler teaches that "the head of patient P will be firmly supported on, and preferably restrained by an operating table" (see lines 62-63 of col.5 of Glockler).

Regarding claims 2, 8-11 Kawesch lacks a portable stand that supports the airflow module. Glockler discloses a portable stand (see wheels in Fig.1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the feature taught by Glockler, in the device of Kawesch, because it would allow for the device to be used in alternative locations, and also because, Kawesch teaches that "drying device 200 may be integrated into a complete laser-based vision surgery apparatus or it may be a separate, retrofit unit" (see lines 17-19 of col.5 of Kawesch).

Application/Control Number: 09/726,953 Page 5

Art Unit: 3743

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant argues that Kawesch does not disclose directing a flow of air above the cornea at a distance so that the cornea is not dehydrated, however, Kawesch discloses such (see 206 of Figure 4, also see "manually operated...manipulated to direct...flow of... air over" in lines 26-28 of col.5).

7. Applicant argues that Kawesch does not disclose directing a flow of air above the cornea from one side of the cornea to another side of the cornea, however, Kawesch discloses such (see Figure 4).

#### Conclusion

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fadi H. Dahbour whose telephone number is 703-306-5479. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am-5:30pm est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett, can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fadi H. Dahbour Examiner Art Unit 3743